

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/705,590		11/10/2003	Safwat Tadros	141621-1	6234	
23413	7590	02/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CANTOR		•	BUMGARNER, MELBA N			
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-			3732		
				DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005	DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antique Occurrence	10/705,590	TADROS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Melba Bumgarner	3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 N	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 November 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
<ul> <li>2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/22/04.</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/705,590 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-20 in the reply filed on November 23, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 21-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed November 23, 2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 11, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what definition is given to percent stress retention and percent haziness.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,590 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

6. Claims 1-3, 10, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chishti et al. (6,183,248). Chishti et al. disclose an appliance 100 comprising a polymeric shell 102 that comprise a polymeric mixture and has cavities designed to receive teeth. As to claims 2 and 3, the polymeric mixture comprises thermoplastic polymer including polyester and polycarbonate. As to claim 10, the polymeric mixture has an elastic modulus of greater than or equal to about 1,500 Newtons/sq mm (column 6 line 15). As to claim 15, the shell has a thickness of about 127 to about 1016 micrometers. As to claims 16 and 17, the shell comprises two or more layers (column 6 line 48) and one layer comprises an elastomer. As to claim 18, the appliance is part of a system of appliance (column 5 line 27).

7. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chishti et al. (5,975,893). Chishti et al. disclose a method for maintaining or repositioning teeth comprising placing the appliance in a patient's mouth. Additional appliances may be placed in a patient's mouth wherein a tooth position defined by a single cavity in each successive appliance differs from that defined in a prior appliance by an amount of no more than 2 millimeters (column 5 lines 8-22).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chishti et al. ('248) in view of Goldberg et al. (4,894,012). Chishti et al. disclose an appliance that shows the

Application/Control Number: 10/705,590 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

limitations as described above; however, they do not show the polymeric mixture as claimed. Goldberg et al. teach a dental appliance comprising polycarbonate and cycloaliphatic polyester. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the appliance of Chishti et al. to have the material of Goldberg et al. in order to use polymer mixture with greater stiffness and strength in view of Goldberg et al. As to claims 7-9, it would have been an obvious matter of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art as to the specific molecular weight and weight percentage of the polymeric mixture as they are not disclosed as critical to the claimed invention.

10. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chishti et al. ('248). Chishti et al. disclose an appliance that shows the limitations as described above; however, they do not show the various properties of the polymeric mixture. It would have been an obvious matter of choice as to the specific value or range of values of a property of the mixture in that it has not been defined and/or its criticality has not been described as it pertains to the claimed invention.

## Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mah (2003/0198912) is cited to show the state of the art with respect to a dental appliance.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bumgainer

Melba Bumgarner

Patent Examiner